

# Previous Years Question Papers for Ethics in GS-4

## Ethics PYQ UPSC 2018 (Case-Study):

**Question 1:** As a senior officer in the Ministry, you have access to important policy decisions and upcoming big announcements, such as road construction projects, before they are notified in the public domain. The Ministry is about to announce a mega road project for which the drawings are already in place. Sufficient care was taken by the planners to make use of the government land with the minimum land acquisition from private parties. The compensation rate for private parties was also finalized as per government rules. Care was also taken to minimize deforestation.

Once the project is announced, it is expected that there will be a huge spurt in real estate prices in and around that area. Meanwhile, the Minister concerned insists that you realign the road in such a way that it comes closer to his 20 acres of the farmhouse. He also suggests that he would facilitate the purchase of a big plot of land in your wife's name at the prevailing rate, which is very nominal, in and around the proposed mega-road project. He also tries to convince you by saying that there is no harm in it as he is buying the land legally. He even promises to supplement your savings in case you do not have sufficient funds to buy the land.

However, by the act of realignment, a lot of agricultural land has to be acquired, thereby causing a considerable financial burden on the government and also the displacement of the farmers. As if this is not enough, it will involve cutting down a large number of trees, denuding the area of its green cover.

- Faced with this situation, what will you do?
- Critically examine various conflicts of interest and explain what your responsibilities are as a public servant.

**Question 2:** Edward Snowden, a computer expert and former CIA administrator, released confidential Government documents to the press about the existence of Government surveillance programmes. According to many legal experts and the US Government, his action violated the Espionage act of 1971, which identified the leak of State secrets as an act of treason. Yet, despite the fact that he broke the law, Snowden argued that he had a moral obligation to act.

He gave a justification for his "whistle blowing" by stating that he had a duty "to inform the public as to that which is done in their name and that which is done against them." According to Snowden, the Government's violation of privacy had to be exposed regardless of legality since more substantive issues of social action and public morality were involved here. Many agreed with Snowden. Few argued that he broke the law and compromised national security, for which he should be held accountable.

- Do you agree that Snowden's actions were ethically justified even if legally prohibited? Why or why not?
- Make an argument by weighing the competing values in this case.

## Ethics PYQ UPSC 2017 (Case-Study):

**Question 1:** You are aspiring to become an IAS officer, and you have cleared various stages, and now you have been selected for the personal interview. On the day of the interview, on the way to the venue, you saw an accident where a mother and child who happen to be your relatives were badly injured. They needed immediate help. What would you have done in such a situation? Justify your action.

**Question 2:** You are the manager of a spare parts company A, and you have to negotiate a deal with the manager of a large manufacturing company B. The deal is highly competitive and sealing the deal is critical for your company. The deal is being worked out over dinner. After dinner, the manager of manufacturing company B offered to drop you at the hotel in his car. On the way to the hotel, he happens to hit a motorcycle, injuring the motorcyclist badly. You know the manager was driving fast and thus lost control. The law enforcement officer comes to investigate the issue, and you are the sole eyewitness to it. Knowing the strict laws pertaining to road accidents, you are aware that your honest account of the incident would lead to the prosecution of the manager and as a consequence, the deal is likely to be jeopardised, which is of immense importance to your company.

- What are the dilemmas you face?
- What will be your response to the situation?

## Ethics Previous Year Questions 2016 (Case-Study):

**Question 1:** ABC Ltd. is a large transnational company having diversified business activities with a huge shareholder base. The company is continuously expanding, generating employment. The company, in its expansion and diversification program, decided to establish a new plant at Vikaspuri, an area that is underdeveloped. The new plant is designed to use energy-efficient technology that will help the company to save production costs by 20%. The company's decision goes well with the Government policy of attracting investment to develop such underdeveloped regions.

The government has also announced a tax holiday for five years for companies that invest in underdeveloped areas. However, the new plant may bring chaos for the inhabitants of the Vikaspuri region, which is otherwise tranquil. The new plant may result in an increased cost of living, aliens migrating to the region, and disturbing the social and economic order. The company sensing the possible protest tried to educate the people of the Vikaspuri region and the public in general that its Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) policy would help overcome the likely difficulties of the residents of the Vikaspuri region. In spite of this, the protests began, and some of the residents decided to approach the judiciary as their plea before the Government did not yield any result.

- Identify the issues involved in the case.
- What can be suggested to satisfy the company's goal and to address the residents' concerns?

**Question 2:** A fresh engineering graduate gets a job in the prestigious chemical industry. She likes the work. The salary is also good. However, after a few months, she accidentally discovers that highly toxic waste is being secretly discharged into a river nearby. This is causing health problems for the villagers downstream who depend on the river for their water needs. She is perturbed and

mentions her concern to her colleagues who have been with the company for longer periods. They advise her to keep quiet as anyone who mentions the topic is summarily dismissed.

She cannot risk losing her job as she is the sole breadwinner for her family and has to support her ailing parents and siblings. At first, she thinks that if her seniors are keeping quiet, why should she stick out her neck? But her conscience pricks her to do something to save the river and the people who depend upon it. At heart, she feels that the advice of silence given by her friends is not correct though she cannot give reasons for it. She thinks you are a wise person and seeks your advice.

- What arguments can you advance to show her that keeping quiet is not morally right?
- What course of action would you advise her to adopt and why?

**Question 3:** You are a young, aspiring and sincere employee in a Government office working as an assistant to the director of your department. Since you have joined recently, you need to learn and progress. Luckily your superior is very kind and ready to train you for your job. He is a very intelligent and well-informed person having knowledge of various departments. In short, you respect your boss and are looking forward to learning a lot from him. Since you have good tuning with the boss, he started depending on you. One day due to ill health he invited you to his place to finish some urgent work.

You reached his house and before you could ring the bell you heard shouting noises. You waited for a while. After entering the house the boss greeted you and explained the work. But you were constantly disturbed by the crying of a woman. At last, you inquired with the boss but his answer did not satisfy you. The next day, you were compelled to inquire further in the office and found out that his behaviour is very bad at home with his wife. He also beats up his wife. His wife is not well educated and is a simple woman in comparison to her husband. you see that though your boss is a nice person in the office, he is engaged in domestic violence at home. In such a situation, you are left with the following options. Analyse each option with its consequences.

- Just ignore thinking about it because it is their personal matter.
- Report the case to the appropriate authority.
- Your own innovative approach towards the situation.

## Ethics PYQ UPSC 2015 (Case-Study)

**Question 1:** One of the scientists working in the R&D laboratory of a major pharmaceutical company discovers that one of the company's best-selling veterinary drugs has the potential to cure a currently incurable liver disease which is prevalent in tribal areas. However, developing a variant of the drug suitable for human beings entailed a lot of research and development having a huge expenditure to the extent of Rs. 50 crores. It was unlikely that the company would recover the cost as the disease was rampant only in poverty-stricken areas having very little market otherwise. If you were the CEO, then

- Identify the various actions that you could take
- Evaluate the pros and cons of each of your actions

## Ethics Previous Year Questions 2014 (Case-Study)

**Question 1:** In our country, the migration of rural people to towns and cities is increasing drastically. This is causing serious problems both in the rural as well as in urban areas. In fact, things are becoming really unmanageable. Can you analyze this problem in detail and indicate not only the

socio-economic but also the emotional and attitudinal factors responsible for this problem? Also, distinctly bring out why –

- Educated youth are trying to shift to urban areas
- Landless poor people are migrating to urban slums
- Even some farmers are selling off the land and trying to settle in urban areas taking petty jobs.

What feasible steps can you suggest which will be effective in controlling this serious problem in our country?

**Question 2:** Rameshwar successfully cleared the prestigious civil services examination and was excited about the opportunity that he would get through the civil services to serve the country. However, soon after joining the services, he realized that things are not as rosy as he had imagined. He found a number of malpractices prevailing in the department assigned to him. For example, funds under various schemes and grants were being misappropriated. The official facilities were frequently used for personal needs by the officers and staff.

After some time, he noticed that the process of recruiting the staff was also not up to the mark. Prospective candidates were required to write an examination in which a lot of cheating was going on. Some candidates were provided with external help in the examination. Rameshwar brought these incidents to the notice of his seniors. However, he was advised to keep his eyes, ears and mouth shut and ignore all these things which were taking place with the connivance of the higher-ups. Rameshwar felt highly disillusioned and uncomfortable. He comes to you seeking your advice.

- Indicate various options that you think are available in this situation.
- How would you help him to evaluate these options and choose the most appropriate path to be adopted?

## Ethics Previous Year Questions 2013 (Case-Study)

**Question 1:** You are the Executive Director of an upcoming InfoTech Company which is making a name for itself in the market. Mr A, who is a star performer, is heading the marketing team. In a short period of one year, he has helped in doubling the revenues as well as creating high brand equity for the Company so much so that you are thinking of promoting him. However, you have been receiving information from many corners about his attitude towards female colleagues; particularly his habit of making loose comments about women. In addition, he regularly sends indecent SMS to all the team members including his female colleagues. One day, late in the evening, Mrs X, who is one of Mr A's team members, comes to you visibly disturbed. She complains about the continued misconduct of Mr A, who has been making undesirable advances towards her and has even tried to touch her inappropriately in his cabin. She tendered her resignation and left your office.

- What are the options available to you?
- Evaluate each of these options and choose the option you would adopt, giving reasons.

**Question 2:** You are heading a leading technical institute in the country. The institute is planning to convene an interview panel shortly under your chairmanship for the selection of the post of professor. A few days before the interview, you get a call from the Personal Secretary (PS) of a senior government functionary seeking your intervention in favour of the selection of a close relative of the functionary for this post. The PS also informs you that he is aware of the long pending and urgent proposals of your institute for the grant of funds for modernization, which are awaiting the functionary's approval. He assures you that he would get these proposals cleared.

- What are the options available to you?

- Evaluate each of these options and choose the option which you would adopt, giving reasons.

